


Honeybourne NDP Event

- 28 attendees on Saturday
- 29 attendees on Sunday
- Including those that helped it reached 61
- 62 responses (some were taken to those that were unable to get to the event)
- Huge amount of advertising: Village Newsletter, website, Facebook , banner, posters
- Low turnout yet on Facebook had over 1000 likes
- Possible issues affecting turnout: football, Grand Prix and hot weather
- Idea to possibly link to a village event in the future to try and attract more people

Did people agree with the vision?



Yes there was overall support

One supporter stated:

- *Should include more social housing and the need for it, and housing for older people*

The four that objected made the following comments:

- *Honeybourne has been developed enough and cannot cope with the amount of people*
- *No room in schools*

One individual who left answer blank commented

- *there doesn't seem much thought for young people and families, we need plenty of housing to use all the facilities planned like station car park and village hall.*

Objective 1

To protect and enhance the built environment through well designed buildings which reflect local architecture and building traditions whilst being tailored to the needs and context of their location within Honeybourne parish.

- 95 % support Objective 1

Objective 1	
Agree	59
Neutral	1
Disagree	0
Blank	2

Objective 2

To conserve and enhance heritage assets and improve features which contribute to the local character and distinctiveness of the area.

- 90% support Objective 2

Objective 2	
Agree	54
Neutral	6
Disagree	0
Blank	2

Objective 3

To protect and enhance the local natural environment for the benefit of future generations including green and open spaces, wildlife corridor's and public footpaths.

- 90% support Objective 3
- Comment to add bridleways to this objective

Objective 3	
Agree	54
Neutral	4
Disagree	2
Blank	2

Objective 4

To maintain and enhance connectivity throughout the parish and further afield on foot, bicycle, train and bus to reduce the reliance on the motorcar and reduce congestion.

- 87 % support Objective 4

Objective 4	
Agree	52
Neutral	7
Disagree	1
Blank	2

Objective 5

To encourage and enhance a strong sense of community by maintaining, supporting and improving existing community and social facilities for a range of age groups.


- 81% support Objective 5
- Comment to refer to the campaign to build new village Hall

Objective 5	
Agree	50
Neutral	7
Disagree	1
Blank	4

General Comments were:

- Essential to ensure social groups such as cubs, WI, Yoga, Wednesday Club etc. are not priced out of new facilities
- Like to see something for our old people too
- New build houses don't enhance the village. Ugly and out of character.

Preferred housing site



The preferred site is Site A: Land Adjacent to Harvard Avenue, (behind Badham's Garage)

Comments Site A:

- This site would be more acceptable than any of the others
- Development should be constructed using local materials
- Contained area of the correct size for the next 5 years
- Logical infill with no scope to creep further out of the village
- This site would provide public access and pedestrian links to the shops and train station
- Could include a small wildlife and play park
- Green Space should be preserved

Comments re Site B

- Starting to approach Bretforton (would encourage building in next fields)

Comments Site C

- Too many houses other end of village
- Developing Site C would increase the population around the sports field and these seems a valuable resource
- Site is infilling into the back of Honeybourne and not stretching the village

Comments Site C continued

- Site C behind Corner Farm field is in family court ownership dispute
- Starting to approach Bretforton (would encourage building in next fields)


Comments Site D

- Traffic calming promised is not yet effective (by Taylor Wimpey). This is an issue for this site.


Other suggestions

- Should look at all fields close to the village including the Leys
- Suggested land to north of Station House on Buckle Street
- We probably need to build houses on all of these sites
- Any new housing must be measured against local services, doctors, school etc.
- Infrastructure i.e. the amenities/ roads through the village are not suitable for more housing
- With any building areas agreed would like to see rural access maintained not changed to a road with a footpath/ bridleway on top

Support for designating Local green Space



Support for designating Local green Space cont.



Inconsistencies: of the 24 that state that they support Site 11 being designated as LGS, 8 of them also selected it as their preferred site for housing development.

If remove the 8 non residents there are 23 in support of site 11 and 13 objections.

Green Space

Comments about 11, Rear of Badham's Garage

- The field is small privately owned and farmed.
- Site could become overgrown.
- It is bounded on all sides by railway line, housing and the road.
- No archaeological impact.
- At present it benefits nobody (owners, the farmer as it is often vandalised and trespassed, and the community).
- Better suited for housing.
- Rounds off the village curtilage.
- Could be well designed for housing and include important central green space
- Not considered demonstrably special or of particular local significance.
- Allocation for housing would achieve improved public access and provide pedestrian links from north to south especially to new co-op and train station.
- Dog walkers not keeping to public footpath and spoiling land/ agricultural use.
- It is not a children's play area.
- Would like footpath to be preserved if developed.

Comments about 5 Greens of Perrie Drive and Fernihough Avenue

- The greens are rather larger than necessary, keep some green and use other space for social housing.

Comments about 6 The green spaces in the Nature Reserve off Station Road

- Dogs should not be in a nature reserve.

Comments about 10 The Leys



- This site could be used for building but have the informal footpath retained rather than the whole site.
- The site doesn't have any archaeological impact.

General comments

- 2,7,8 and 11 all appear to be private land and the owners have a right to do what they want; don't support private land being designated.
- Private land shouldn't be used for access or dog walking.
- Most people never use any of it and we don't need whole fields for the community to look after.
- Support maintaining our green spaces
- 1,5,10 and 12 can all be reduced in size of green area, maybe re-siting the school.

Who responded?

- 26 women, 31 men, 5 gave no detail
- Generally a good spread of ages, smallest group 30-39



Where were respondents from?

- 8 don't reside in Honeybourne
- 18 left the answer blank
- 36 live in the parish of Honeybourne

From all over the parish including: Buckle Street, Clun Forest Way, Corner Farm Drive, Dudley Road, Fallowfield Grange Farm Drive, High Street, Perrie Drive, School Street Stephenson Way, Stratford Road and The Green.